

Productivity and nutritive value of *Brachiaria mulato* and *Centrosema* pascuorum mixtures at various ratios in Bauchi State, Nigeria

Ibrahim Sani¹*, Khadijat Musa Bello¹, Mohammed Abubakar¹ and Sani Hussain Nuhu²

¹Department of Animal Production, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria ²Department of Animal Health & Production, Federal Polytechnic, Bauchi, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: Ibrahim Sani (isani@atbu.edu.ng)

Abstract

This study was carried out in 2023 at the Pasture plot of the Department of Animal Production Teaching and Research Farm, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Gubi Campus, Bauchi State. Grass-legume mix ratios of Brachiaria mulato (BM) and Centrosema pascuorum (CP) at different mix rates (B. mulato only, 3 part B.M: 1 part C.P, 1 part B.M: 1 part C.P, 1 part B.M: 3 parts C.P and C. pascuorum only) were studied to determine their growth rate, yield and quality of the forages. Forages across treatments were fertilized at 150 kg/ha of Single Super Phosphate (SSP) in full application at 2 and 5 weeks of age. Plant mix ratios constituted the main plots and stage of harvest (4, 6, 8, 10 & 12 weeks) were the sub factor in a Completely Randomized Block Design layout. The highest (P<0.05) plant height was obtained at 1B.M: 3C.P plant mix ratio for both B. mulato (57 cm) and C. pascuorum (67.67 cm) plants separately. Plant height and tiller number were observed to have increased (P<0.05) as pasture weeks increased from week 4 to 6. Soil cover percentage was significant (P<0.05) and was highest (80.30%) in sole C. pascuorum followed by 53.33% in sole B. mulato and 1B.R: 1C.P mixtures. Plant mix rates significantly (P<0.05) increased leaf area index (LAI) and dry matter yield of B. mulato & C. pascuorum mixtures. The highest (P<0.05) total fresh (32.90 t/ha) and dry matter yield (10.31 t/ha) were in 1BM: 3C.P mix ratio at week 12 (31.55 & 9.35 t/ha, respectively). Similarly, the chemical composition showed 1BM:3C.P had the best (P<0.05) Crude protein (16.02%), NDF (51.94%) and ADF (40.98%) values compared to other treatments except sole C. pascuorum while week 10 had the best CP (17.28%), NDF (49.01%) and ADF (44.53%). Hence, B. mulato and C. pascuorum are recommended for planting at a mixed rate of 1B.M: 3C.P (1: 3) and harvested at 10 weeks old for best productivity for improved animal production.

Keywords: Brachiaria mulato, Centrosema pascuorum, Mix ratios, Week, Yield

To cite this article: Sani, I., Bello, K. M., Abubakar, M., & Nuhu, S. H. (2024). Productivity and nutritive value of *Brachiaria mulato* and *Centrosema pascuorum* mixtures at various ratios in Bauchi State, Nigeria. *Journal of Pure and Applied Agriculture*, 9(1), 84-90.

Introduction

Brachiaria Mulato II is a three-way hybrid and product of three Brachiaria varieties. It is a characteristic perennial grass with an average height at maturity between 80-100 cm, a very leafy vegetation and vigorous growth pattern. It has a crude protein content of 10-14% on poor soil and 12-18 % crude protein on fertile soils in tropical regions. The easiest way to enhance the nutrient composition of Brachiaria grass and maintain the nutritional value of the grass is by cultivating it in association with leguminous forages (Argel et al., 2006). One logical way of boosting animal production in Nigeria is by cultivating vast area of land with qualitative legume-based pastures. Brachiaria mulatto II requires a fertilizer applications rate of between 250-300 kg/ha of NPK fertilizer per annum in split applications. It will grow on low fertility soils but with lower yield, hence frequent fertilizer applications at higher rates are necessary on poor soils (Argel et al., 2006).

Legumes are palatable and nutritious tropical forages that are essential component of livestock nutrition. *Centrosema pascuorum* is an annual forage legume, grown mainly in tropical areas around the world. It is a valuable source of crude protein, crude fibre and mineral for livestock. It can also be used to improve soil fertility. C. pascuorum is a relatively easy crop to grow. It caned be planted in a variety of soil since it is tolerant to drought, heat and relatively resistant to pests and diseases. The nutritional value of C. pascuorum is high, the leaves contain between 18-20% crude protein and the seeds contain between 25-30% crude protein. C. pascuorum can be grazed by livestock, cut for hay or used to make silage (Castillo et al., 2003). Mixtures of grass and legume, grown in association helps to decrease input needed for forage production systems by reducing the use of industrial nitrogen (N) especially in grass stand (Whitehead, 1995). Intercropping grasses and legumes in pasture swards increased yield and pasture quality which in turn increased voluntary intake and forage digestibility (Aderinola, 2007). Grass-legume mixtures have an advantage over sole legumes because it will prevent incidences of bloat in animals as well as depth of soil erosion by legume cover (Minson, 1990). The role and contribution of legume plant component to the total forage yield of a mixed grass-legume stand depends on the relative seed proportion of plant component species. It has been reported that there exists a progressive increase in the contribution of the legume

component in a mixture with grass as the seed proportion of the legume increases (Onifade et al. (1994).

Therefore, determination of the optimum seed proportion is a key to establishing a balanced grass and legume mixed pasture. Furthermore, *Centrosema pascuorum* is a legume grown for forage and valuable to both livestock (as feed) and the soil because it improves the soil fertility by fixing nitrogen to the soil. There is no information available on the productivity of *B. mulatto* grown in mixture with any *Centrosema species*. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the influence of plant mix ratios on growth components, yield, and nutritive value of *B. mulatto* and *C. pascuorum*.

Materials and Methods

Location of the study

The study was carried out at the Pasture Plot of the Department of Animal Production Teaching and Research Farm, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University (ATBU), main campus, Bauchi State. Bauchi State occupies a total land area of 49,119 km² representing about 5.3% of Nigeria's total land mass and at an elevation of 616 m. It is located in the Northern Guinea Savanna zone of Nigeria, it is bordered by Yobe and Borno States to the North. Kano and Kaduna States to the West. Gombe State to the East and Plateau State to the South. Bauchi state is one of the states in the Northern part of Nigeria that span two distinctive vegetation zones, namely, the Sudan savannah and the Sahel savannah. It has an average annual rainfall of 1150mm in 2023. Rainy season starts from April and ends in October, with an established rainy period of June to September (BSADP, 2023). The soil characteristics of the study location are shown below (Table 1). The soil sample was collected at a depth of 20 cm and taken to the Department of Soil Science laboratory, ATBU, Bauchi for analysis of its properties.

Table 1 Study location soil properties sampled at soil depth of 20 cm

Analyzed values
· · · · ·
70.90
17.60
11.50
Loamy sand
0.80
2.30
1.50
0.90
5.98
5.30
3.42
2.05
0.18

Field study, 2023

Experimental layout and pasture establishment

A land area of one hectare (1ha) measuring 100m x 100m in size was used to conduct the pasture establishment. *B. mulato* and *C. pascuorum* were sown at different plant mix ratios and as sole pastures for each species (control). The experiment consists of five (5) treatments planted in rows and at 1m row spacing (inter row) in a Completely Randomized Block Design. They include main treatments; Treatment 1: *B. mulato* only, Treatment 2: 3-part *B.* mulato: 1 part *C. pascuorum* (3: 1), Treatment 3: 1 part *B. mulato*:1 part *C. pascuorum* (1: 3) and Treatment 5: *C. pascuorum* only while stage of harvest (4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 weeks) are the periods of sampling of the experiment. The experimental pastures were sown in rows at 50 cm intra row spacing at a depth of 2 cm. Phosphate fertilizers (SSP)

The number of tillers in each plant was counted and recorded within a 1m quadrat. Numbers of plants in a stand, height of

within a 1m quadrat. Numbers of plants in a stand, height of plant, number of tillers in a plant and leaf area index (LAI) were measured at weeks 4, 6 and 8 after pasture emergence when plants have not attained full maturity. LAI was calculated using the procedure described by Adesoji et al. (2013). Forage yield was measured at full maturity at week 10 and 12 separately. Total fresh material cut per $1m^2$ quadrat ere immediately weighed (total fresh forage weight) after harvest to determine fresh forage yield per quadrat in each plant mixture. The grass-legume whole sample cut was separated at a stand of the same separated by the same bar to be sample cut was separated by the same separated by the same bar to be bar to be bar to be bar to be an additional bar to be bar to bar to be bar to bar to be bar to

at a rate of 150 kg/ha was applied to the pastures as full dose, two weeks after establishment and at weeks five of pasture

maturity.

Data collection

Ibrahim Sani et al

into grass and legume immediately after harvest to determine the proportion of grasses and legumes in the mixtures. The separated grass and legume cut were weighed individually and 250 g sub-sample taken the laboratory for oven drying to determine dry matter yield using the procedure of Tarawali et al. (1995).

Chemical analysis to determine the quality of forage samples

B. mulatto and C. pascuorum were cut at week 10 and 12 in this study for chemical analysis. The forage sub samples from *B. mulatto* and *C. pascuorum* were taken to the laboratory for oven drying and chemical analysis. Nitrogen content (N) of the forages was determined by the method of Kjeldahl while the protein content was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen content by a factor of 6.25 (N × 6.25). The Ash content was determined by procedure of AOAC (2005), while Van Soest method of forage analysis was used to determine Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) and Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) (Van Soest et al., 1994).

Analysis of data

Data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance using General Linear Model of (SAS, 2005) to statistically analyze the data from the field studies while Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used to determine significant difference (P<0.05) between means in a Completely Randomized Block Design (SAS, 2005).

Results and Discussion

Effect of plant mix ratios and stage of harvest on growth components of *B. mulatto* and *C. pascuorum*

Results shown in Table 2 indicated that number of plant per stand for *B. mulato* ranged from 9.10 in 1B.M: 3C.P to

10.63 in sole *B. mulato* and results were significant (P<0.05). There was a significant difference (P<0.05) in plant number per stand for *C. pascuorum* ranging from 4.90 plants in 3B.M: 1C.P to 9.23 plants in 1B.M: 1C.P. The height of plants for B. mulato and C. pascuorum pure stands and in mixtures increased (P<0.05) across treatment as C. pascuorum rate increased in the mixture and also as age of plant increases. Highest (P<0.05) plant height was obtained at 1B.M: 3C.P plant mix ratio for both B. mulato (57.0 cm) and C. pascuorum (67.67 cm) plants seperately. Kusvuran et al. (2014) obtained highest plant height at 80%HV: 20%AR in research with Hungarian vetch and Rye grass at different mixtures which indicated that sowing ratio influences plant height. The competition between B. mulato and C. pascuorum and or nitrogen fixation by C. pascuorum in the mixtures might have contributed to higher plant height in mixtures than the control (sole pasture stand) in the experiment. In addition, plant height increased (P<0.05) as pasture week increased from week 4 to week 8.

The highest tiller number per culm obtained in B. mulato pure stand could be related to the stand of B. mulato having zero competition from C. pascuorum and also, B. mulato having a strong vigor for growth with its erect growth pattern. The study observed that as C. pascuorum increased in mixture, the number of tillers in corresponding B. mulato decreased. Tillering in plant is a measure of growth potential for forage production by pasture plants. In this experiment, tiller number was observed to have increased (P<0.05) as pasture weeks increased from week 4 to 6. Soil cover percentage was highest (80.30%) in sole C. pascuorum which was followed by 53.33% in sole B. mulato and 1B.R: 1C.P mixtures. Mean values were significant (P<0.05) and increased as C. pascuorum increased in the mixture. C. pascuorum is a low growing legume with prostrate growth pattern and hence resulted in more ground cover than B. mulatto. Soil cover percentage increased as plant age increases due to increased internode elongation in stem and higher leaf area index.

Table 2 Indices of growth for <i>B. mulato-C.</i>	pascuorum under different	plant mix levels (%)	and sampling period (weeks)
0			

	Plants	No./stand	Plants height (cm)		Tiller	Cover	
Treatments	В.	С.	B. mulato	С.	В.	С.	mixture
	mulato	pascuorum		pascuorum	mulato	pascuorum	(%)
Plant mix ratios (%)							
Sole B. mulato	10.63 ^a	-	35.00 ^c	-	6.00 ^a	-	58.33 ^b
3B.M: 1C.P	9.56 ^a	4.90 ^c	42.33 ^b	43.92 ^d	6.22 ^{ab}	5.81°	65.00 ^c
1B.M: 1C.P	9.89 ^b	9.23 ^a	44.09 ^b	56.58 ^b	7.33 ^{bc}	1050 ^a	68.33 ^b
1B.M: 3C.P	9.10 ^c	6.82 ^b	57.00 ^a	67.67 ^a	6.22 ^{ab}	11.04 ^a	75.00 ^c
Sole C. pascuorum	-	7.64 ^b	-	54.17°	-	9.88 ^b	80.30 ^a
SEM	0.044	0.025	0.085	0.062	0.037	0.046	0.076
Sampling period							
(wks)							
4	8.33	9.70	41.36 ^c	43.50 ^c	5.80 ^b	7.67 ^b	40.00 ^c
6	11.65	8.23	45.50 ^b	53.15 ^b	5.27 ^b	8.40 ^a	65.00 ^b
8	10.98	10.40	50.21ª	66.56 ^a	7.73 ^a	9.03 ^a	90.00 ^a
SEM	2.201	2.097	4.093	5.172	1.281	0.953	1.056

^{a, b, c} = Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05); **B.M** = *Brachiaria mulato*; **C.P** = *Centrosema pascuorum*; **SEM** = Standard error mean

Effect of plant mix ratios and stage of harvest on leaf area index, fresh yield and dry matter yield of *B. mulato* and *C. pascuorum*

Leaf area index (LAI), fresh and dry forage yields of B. mulato and C. pascuorum, separately as sole stands and in mixtures are shown in Table 3. Results obtained for B. mulato showed that LAI decreased from 3.75 in sole B. mulato to 2.64 in 1B.R: 3C.P mixture and showed significant difference (P<0.05) except for sole B. mulato (3.75) and 3B.R: 1C.P (3.69) which were at par. C. pascuorum, had no significant difference (P>0.05) in LAI across treatments. The LAI in B. mulatto steadily declined as C. pascuorum amount in the mixture increased, but increased as plant age increased. A significant reduction of leaf area index in the plant mix treatments as C. pascuorum increased was probably because of the competition between plant species above ground for light (solar radiation) and below ground for water and soil nutrients (Corre-Hellou et al., 2007; Valadabali & Farahani, 2010). LAI increased (P<0.05) as plant age (weeks), which proved that increase in leaf area led to an increased light interception and consequently photosynthesis which resulted in increased production (Reddy, 2000).

Effect of plant mix ratios and stage of harvest on dry matter yield of *B. mulatto* and *C. pascuorum*

The total fresh forage and total dry matter yield in B. mulato and C. pascuorum in sole stand and mixtures as shown in Table 3 ranged from 24.90 and 5.28 t/ha in sole B. mulato to 32.90 and 10.31 t/ha in 1B.M: 3C.P plant mix ratios, respectively and values were significantly different (P<0.05) from each other. The highest total fresh and dry matter yield (32.90 & 6.87 t/ha) was obtained in 1BM: 3CP which suggests better contribution of C. pascuorum in the mixture through nitrogen fixation compared to other treatments. Results for fresh forage yield obtained in this study (24.90 t/ha in sole B. mulatto and 32.90 t/ha in 1B.M: 3C.P) is very similar to the result (22.7 t/ha to 33.4 t/ha) obtained by Kusvuran et al. (2014) in a mixture involving Rye grass and Hungarian vetch at different mixture ratios and row spacing. However, it was higher than the range of 16.60 to 21.93 t/ha obtained by Sani et al. (2019) in a previous study with B. ruziziensis.

The results for dry matter yield obtained for sole *C. pascuorum* in this study (5.28 t/ha) was similar to the results obtained by Hassan et al. (2015), but higher than 3.3 t/ha obtained by Budisantoso et al. (2006). The highest total dry matter yield for the mixtures obtained in this study (10.31 t/ha) in 1BM: 3C.P mixture was higher than 6.87 t/ha obtained by Sani et al. (2020); (2023) in previous and similar researches when *B. ruziziensis* was grown in mixture with *C. pascuorum* and also, 7.0 t/ha obtained by Muhammad (2015) when Rhode grass and *C. pubescens* were grown in mix ratio of 1: 3 with phosphate fertilization. In this study, the highest fresh forage yield (32.90 t/ha) and dry matter yield (10.31 t/ha) yields were

obtained in 1B.M: 3C.P plant mix level and the yields increased based on increasing *C. pascuorum* in the mixture. Higher legume proportion in mixed forages (grass-legume) increases the green herbage yield and hay per unit area and legume ratio in mixtures should not be below 60% for higher productivity and yield (Ozel et al. 2010; Sani et al. 2020 & Sani et al. 2023). Higher fresh yield (31.55 t/ha) and dry yield (9.53 t/ha) were both obtained at week 12 of plant harvest and were higher than the yield at week 10 (P<0.05). Values obtained were similar to results obtained by Kavut (2019) in a similar study on Persian clover and Rye grass at different mix ratios and 5.17 DM t/ha & 6.23 DM t/ha by Sani et al. (2020) at week 10 and 12, respectively both in a study on *B. ruziziensis*.

Effect of plant mix ratios and stage of growth on nutritive value of B. mulatto and C. pascuorum mixtures

As shown in Table 4, Crude Protein (CP) percentage increased (P<0.05) as the level of C. pascuorum increased (P<0.05) across treatments, from 13.30% in 100% sole B. mulato to 25.28% in C. pascuorum hay. When the quantity of legume plant in the mixture increased, the crude protein of that mixture increased while as quantity of grass increased in the mixture, CP content significantly decreased (Karagic et al., 2011). The CP for B. mulatto and C. pascuorum mixtures observed in this study were found to be similar with the findings of El-Kramany et al. (2012), Kusvuran et al. (2014) and Sani et al. (2023) on researches with grass-legume mixtures. Also, the CP concentration decreased with advanced stage of growth, with lowest CP value of 15.74% at week 12, but higher value for CP (P<0.05) at week 10 (17.28%). It was observed that crude protein decreased as pasture week increased, which was due to increase in the proportion of stem in relation to leaf fraction, increased cell wall concentration and lignification (Laredo & Minson, 1973). Crude protein concentration obtained in this study for the plant mix ratios at both week 10 and 12 were higher than the values obtained for *B. ruziziensis* grown alone and in association with legumes in a study by Enoch et al. (2005); Sani et al. (2020).

Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) ranged from 44.64% for sole C. pascuorum to 54.50% in sole B. mulato, the NDF values for *B. mulato* grown in mixtures were statistically similar (P>0.05). It was observed that the Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF) and NDF of B. mulato grown in mixture decreased as C. pascuorum amount increased in mixtures. Sole B. mulato had highest (P<0.05) NDF value (54.50), while plant mix levels of 3B.M: 1C.P and 1B.M: 1C.P had similar value (P>0.05), with the least NDF obtained in sole C. pascuorum (44.64%). The NDF and ADF of B. mulatto followed same trend and decreased as C. pascuorum amount increased which indicated that higher Crude Protein of the mixture resulted in a reduction of both ADF and NDF. The concentrations of NDF and ADF which are affected by intercropping are another important quality characteristic for forage (Lithourgidis et al, 2007). Increased forage legume quantity is associated with increased cell contents and decreased structural components which would have positive effects on its nutritive value (HajAyed et al., 2000). Grasses have more cell wall contents, lignin and cellulose components than legumes; hence they rapidly decline in digestibility as they mature (Tan & Mentese, 2003).

Results also indicates that ADF and NDF increased (P>0.05) as pasture plant weeks increased from week 10 (49.01 & 44.53 %) to week 12 (52.23 & 41.98 %) respectively. The ADF in this study increased as the plant age increased which corroborates with the reports of Minson (1990); Shehu et al. (2001) who reported that fibre fraction of legumes generally increases with maturity. Pure cereal plants are not recommended for feeding livestock due to their low nutrient content and yield (Karagic et al., 2011; Kusvuran et al., 2014). Values for Ash in this study were significant (P<0.05), they did not follow a specific trend and were within the range of 3-12% reported by Linn & Martin (1999) for most forage. The difference in results for B. mulato obtained in this study with reports of other authors is due to climatic conditions, varieties and location of the study.

Conclusion and recommendations

Mixtures of B. mulato and C. pascuorum had better yield values and quality than B. mulato monocultures (positive control treatment). The plant mixtures had greater Crude Protein (CP) than sole B. mulato, due to the availability and effective utilization of symbiotically fixed nitrogen (N). The clear difference in Crude Protein (CP) between the B. mulato sole stand and that in the mixture is due the low fertilizer N rate in sole grass stand compared to B. mulato-C. pascuorum mixed sward due to N fixation by C. pascuorum leading to higher quality of mixtures. Plant mix ratio of 1-part B. mulato: 3-part C. pasuorum (1: 3) had highest fresh and dry matter yield as well as best pasture quality in mixtures. Similarly, forage yield was quite lower at week 10 compared to week 12, but quality was better at week 10. Therefore, Brachiaria mulato and C. pascuorum should be incorporated at 1: 3 mix ratio (1B.M: 3C.P) and harvested at 10 weeks old for optimum forage productivity and nutritive value for improved animal production.

References

- Aderinola, O. A. (2007). Herbage Production and Utilization of Andropogon tectorum as influenced by fertilizer application and legume intercrop. Ph.D. Thesis (Unpublished). Department of Animal Production Health, Ladoke Akintola University Technology, Ogbomoso.
- Adesoji, A. G., Abubakar, I. U., Tanimu, B., & Labe, D. A. (2013). Influence of incorporated short duration legume fallow and nitrogen on maize. American-Eurasian *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science*, 13(1), 58-67.
- AOAC (2005). Association of Official Analytic Chemists 17th Revised edition. In: *Official methods of Analysis* (pp. 210-240). Washington DC.

- Argel, M., Pedro, J., Miles, J. W., Guiot García, J. D., & Lascano, C. E. (2005). *Cultivar mulato (Brachiaria híbrido CIAT 36061): Gramínea de alta producción y calidad forrajera para los trópicos*. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT).
- BSADP (2023). Bauchi State Agricultural Development Programme. Annual Weather Report
- Budisantoso, E., Fernandez, P. T., & Nulik, J. (2006). Integrating short term legume leys into the maize cropping systems in West Timor: Adaptation species evaluation, communication Research result for field crops, food, agriculture and livestock in dry land farming system, Centre for Agriculture Technology and Development, National Proceedings, 16, 201-205.
- Castillo, E., Ruiz, T. E., Stuart, R., Galindo, J., Hernandez, J. L., & Diaz, H. (2003). Effect of the protein-energetic supplementation on the performance of male bovines grazing natural pastures associated with a mixture of creeping legumes. *Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science*, 37(2), 143-147.
- Corre-Hellou, G., Bisson, N., Launay, M., Fustec, J., & Crozat, Y. (2007). Effect of root depth penetration on soil N sharing and dry matter in pea-barley intercrops given different soil N supplies, *Field Crop Research Journal*, 103, 76-85.
- El-Kramany, E., Elewa, T. A., & Bakry, A. B. (2012). Effect of mixture rates on forage mixture of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) with triticale (× *Triticosecale* Wittmack) under newly reclaimed sandy soil. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 6(5), 40-44.
- Enoch, M. B., Kijora, C., Peters, K. J., Tanya, V. N., Fonkem, D., & Mbanya, J. (2005). Investigation on change of forage quality at harvesting, during hay making and storage of hay harvested at different growth stages in the Adamawa plateau of Cameroon. *Livestock Research for Rural Development Journal*, 17(5), 49. Retrieved from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd17/5/enoh17049.htm
- Haj-Ayed, M., Gonzalez, J., Caballero, F., & Alvir, M. (2000). Nutritive value of on-farm common vetch-oat hays. II. Ruminal degradation of dry matter and crude protein. *Annual Zootech*, 49, 391–398.
- Hassan, M. R., Abdu, S. B., Amodu, J. T., Akpenseun, T. T., Adamu, H. Y., Gideon, A., Musa, A., Braimah, Y., & Abubakar, S. A. (2015). Growth components and forage yield of Centro (*Centrosema pascuorum*) as influenced by levels of donkey manure and irrigation frequency in the Nigerian savannah. *Proceedings of the 1st Biennial* conference of the society for Grassland Research and Development in Nigeria. December, 1, 40-43.
- Karagic, D., Vasiljević, S., Katić, S., Mikić, A., Milić, D., Milošević, B., & Dušanić, N. (2011). Yield and quality of winter common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) haylage depending on sowing method. *Biotechnology Animal Husbandry*, 27, 1585-1594.
- Kavut, Y. T. (2019). Effects of seeding pattern and harvest date of Persian clover and annual ryegrass on hay yield

and quality in a mediterranean environment. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 24(2), 245-251.

- Kusvuran, A., Kaplan, M., & Nazli, R. I. (2014). Effects of mixture ratio and row spacing in Hungarian vetch (*Vicia pannonica* Crantz.) and Annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) intercropping system on yield and quality under semi-arid climate conditions. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 19(1), 118-128.
- Laredo, M. A., & Minson D. J. (1973). The voluntary intake, digestibility and retention time by sheep of leaf and stem fractions of five grasses. *Journal of Crop and Pasture Science*, 24, 875-888.
- Linn, J. G., & Martin, N. P. (1999). Forage quality tests and interpretations. College of Agricultural, *Food and Environmental Sciences bulletin*. University of Minnesota, USA
- Lithourgidis, A. S., Dhima, K. V., Vasilakoglou, I. B., Dordas, C. A., & Yiakoulaki, M. D. (2007). Sustainable production of barley and wheat by intercropping common vetch. Agronomy Sustainable Development, 27, 95-99.
- Minson, D. J. (1990). The chemical composition and nutritive values of tropical grasses. In: Skerman, P.J. and Rivers, F.(eds) *Tropical grasses* (pp. 163–180). FAO, Rome.
- Muhammad, R. (2015). Effect of phosphate fertilization on biological compatibility of *Chloris gayana* and *Centrosema pubescens* mixture. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 5(9), 200-211.
- Onifade O. S., Akinola J. O., & Adu I. F. (1994): An Evaluation of Stylosanthes- Grass Mixtures for Pasture leys. Proceedings of the Regional Workshop on the use of Stylosanthes in West Africa, held in Kaduna, Nigeria, 26-31 October 1994, 5, 22-23.
- Ozel, A. (2010). Determining the appropriate mixture rations in Barley+Hungarian vetch and Barley+common vetch mixtures. M.Sc. Thesis (Unpublished). Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey. (In Turkish with English abstracts).
- Reddy, R.S. (2000). *Principles of crop production*. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi-110 002, India.
- Sani, I., Amodu, J. T., Tanko, R. J. & Hassan, M. R. (2019). Forage yield and quality of Brachiaria ruziziensis (Congo Grass) and Centrosema pascuorum (Centro) mixtures as influenced by

mixture ratios, phosphorus levels and stage of harvest. Ph.D. Thesis (Published). Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria-Kaduna State, Nigeria

- Sani, I., Amodu, J. T., Tanko, R. J., Hassan, M. R., Adamu, N., & Girgiri, A. Y. (2020). Yield and quality of *Brachiaria ruziziensis* (Congo Grass) and *Centrosema pascuorum* (Centro) mixtures as influenced by mixture ratios, phosphorus levels and stage of harvest. *Nigerian Journal* of Animal Science and Technology, 3(3), 72-85.
- Sani, I., Amodu, J. T., Tanko, R. J., Hassan, M. R. & Adamu, N. (2023). Growth components and Yield of *Brachiaria ruziziensis* (Congo Grass) and *Centrosema pascuorum* (Centro) mixtures as influenced by Mixture Ratios, Phosphorus Levels and Stage of Harvest. *Proceedings of the XXV International Grassland Congress* held at Covington, Kentucky, U.S.A from 14-19 May, 2023, 2 (2), 863-867. https://doi.org/10.52202/071171-0206
- SAS (2005). Statistical Analysis System. *Guide for personal computers*. Version 9. SAS Institute, Inc. Carry, New York City, USA.
- Shehu, Y, Alhassan, W. S., Pal, U. R., & Phillips, C. J. (2001). Yield and chemical composition response of *Lablab purpureus* to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizer. *Tropical Grassland Journal*, 35, 180-185.
- Tan, M., & Mentese, O. (2003). Effects of anatomic structure and chemical composition on forage quality. *Journal of Ataturk University of Agriculture Faculty*. 34(1), 97-103.
- Tarawali, S. A., Tarawali, G., Larbi, A., & Hanson, J. (1995). Methods for the Evaluation of forage legumes, Grasses and Fodder trees for use as livestock feed (Report No. 8). ILRI Project Report, ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya.
- Valadabadi, S. A., & Farahani, H. A. (2010). Effects of planting density and pattern on physiological growth indices in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) under nitrogenous fertilizer application. *Journal of Agricultural Extension* and Rural Development, 2(3), 40-47.
- Van Soest, P. J., Robortson, J. B., & Lewis B. A. (1994). Method of dietary fibre, neutral detergent and non-starch, polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Diary Science Journal*, 74, 3583-3597.
- Whitehead, D. C. (1995). *Grassland Nitrogen*. CAB International Publications Inc., Wallingford, England.

			Forage yield (t/ha)					_
	Leaf	area index	Fresh f	orage yield	Dry matter yield		atter yield	-
Treatments	B. mulato	C. pascuorum	B. mulato	C. pascuorum	Total fresh yield	<i>B</i> .	С.	Total dry yield
		-		-		mulato	pascuorum	
Plant mix ratios (%)								
Sole B. mulato	3.75 ^a	-	24.90 ^a	-	24.90 ^e	5.28 ^a	-	5.28 ^e
3B.M: 1C.P	3.69 ^a	4.32	18.71 ^b	9.03 ^d	27.74 ^c	5.93 ^a	2.45 ^d	8.37°
1B.M: 1C.P	3.08 ^b	2.69	15.90°	14.78 ^c	30.68 ^b	5.13 ^a	4.18 ^c	9.30 ^b
1B.M: 3C.P	2.64 ^c	2.51	10.46 ^d	22.46 ^b	32.90 ^a	3.27 ^b	7.02 ^b	10.31 ^a
Sole C. pascuorum	-	3.87	-	26.25 ^a	26.25 ^d	-	8.10^{a}	8.10 ^d
SEM	0.005	0.462	0.05	0.036	0.05	0.015	0.015	0.021
Stage of harvest (weeks)								
4	2.96 ^a	2.46 ^a	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	2.78 ^b	2.34 ^b	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	2.22 ^c	2.13°	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	12.77 ^b	8.05 ^b	24.83 ^b	404 ^b	3.72 ^b	7.76 ^b
12	-	-	15.23 ^a	10.89 ^a	31.55 ^a	5.01 ^a	4.98 ^a	9.35ª
SEM	0.50	0.521	1.805	2.416	1.460	0.77	1.455	0.768

Table 3 Leaf area index and forage yield of *B. mulato* and *C. pascuorum* mixtures as affected by plant mix ratios and stage of harvest

a, b, c = Means in same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05); **B.M** = *Brachiaria mulato*; **C.P** = *Centrosema pascuorum*; SEM = Standard error mean

Table 4 Chemical composition of <i>B. mulato-C. pascuorum mixture</i> as influenced by plant mix ratios and Stage of harve	Table 4 Che	mical composit	ion of <i>B. mula</i>	to-C. pascuori	<i>ım mixture</i> as	s influenced	by plant mix	ratios and Stage	e of harvest
---	-------------	----------------	-----------------------	----------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

Treatments	Dry matter	Crude protein	NDF	ADF	Ether extract	Ash	Hemi-cellulose
Plant mix ratios (%)							
Sole B. mulato	90.53	13.30 ^d	54.50 ^a	45.81 ^a	0.80^{b}	9.74 ^b	9.69
3B.M: 1C.P	90.02	13.82 ^d	53.40 ^b	44.40 ^b	0.64 ^c	8.68 ^c	9.00
1B.M: 1C.P	88.97	14.12 ^c	52.89 ^b	42.81 ^b	1.40 ^c	10.74 ^a	10.08
1B.M: 3C.P	89.30	16.02 ^b	51.94 ^b	40.98 ^b	1.45 ^{bc}	11.50 ^a	10.96
Sole C. pascuorum	87.52	25.28 ^a	44.64 ^c	38.28°	1.90 ^a	7.56 ^d	6.36
SEM	0.320	0.05	0.767	0.157	0.084	0.043	0.103
Stage of harvest (weeks)							
10	89.10	17.28 ^a	49.01	44.53	0.90	9.86 ^a	4.48
12	90.56	15.74 ^b	52.23	41.98	1.47	7.78 ^b	10.25
SEM	1.890	1.374	2.081	1.652	0.431	1.870	1.230

^{a, b, c} = Means in same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05); **B.M** = *Brachiaria mulato*; **C.P** = *Centrosema pascuorum*; **NDF** = Neutral detergent fibre; **ADF** = Acid detergent fibre; **SEM** = Standard error mean