

Critical Discourse Analysis of CNN News about Iran-Israel and USA War

Zeeshan Ali*

Abstract

This research study analyzes discourse of CNN news concerning Donald Trump and Iran at textual, social and discursive levels. The method of this research study is descriptive and qualitative. Moreover, CNN news and Trump's words are connected to power, social context and hidden ideologies are analyzed through Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA). The lexical choices and syntactic features were highlighted by the researcher. The researcher considered syntactic and linguistic analysis for the micro level analysis. The researcher analyzed lexical, grammatical, social, ideological and discursive characteristics.

Keywords: Linguistic, Social, Syntactic, Ideology, Lexical, Power, Textual, Discursive.

Introduction

Fairclough (1995) used to state that critical discourse analysis is the refined, researchable and developed form of Critical Linguistics. The statement “Discourse as Text” includes cohesion, choice of vocabulary, grammar, text structure, transitivity, and modality. Norman Fairclough is a professor at Lancaster University. In the study of speech acts, a locution is the actual act of uttering a sentence with a specific literal meaning, separate from the speaker’s intent (illocution) or the effect on the listener.

Hogg (1896) explicates that the first generative grammar in the modern sense was Panini’s grammar. Panini had given 3959 rules of generative grammar which forms the basis of modern syntax.

Research Objectives

1. To find out all the types of syntactic and linguistic features at textual level in CNN news.
2. To examine all the social, ideological and discursive characteristics in CNN news.

Research Questions

1. What kind of syntactic and linguistic features at textual level are present in CNN news?
2. What kind of social, ideological and discursive characteristics are present in CNN news?

Literature Review

Wodak (2001) explains that linguistic theories i.e. theories of argumentation, of grammar, of rhetoric, try to describe and explain the pattern specific to language systems and verbal communications. Discourse theories aim at the conceptualization of discourse as a social phenomenon and try to explain its genesis and structure. Socio-psychological theories concentrate upon the social conditions of emotion and cognition and prefer causal explanations to hermeneutic understanding of meaning. Micro-sociological theories try to explain social interaction e.g. the resolution of the double contingency problem or the reconstruction of everyday procedures

which members of a society use to create their own social order, which is objective of ethno-methodology. Middle range theories focus either upon specific social phenomena i.e. conflicts, cognition, social networks or on specific sub systems of society i.e. economy, politics, religion. General social theories, often called “grand theories” try to conceptualize relations between social structure and social action and thus link micro and macro sociological phenomena. Epistemology covers theories which provides models of the conditions and contingencies; and limits of human perception in general, and scientific perception in particular.

Research Methodology

The researcher used Norman Fairclough’s model as the basic conceptual structure involving research analysis at the textual level, Social and Discursive practices (Racism, Sociological, political, ideological, hegemony, Social Marginalization’s, Apartheid, power asymmetries, structural inequities, manipulation, exploitation, epistemology, ethno-centrism, Marxism, Hermeneutics, Inter-textual and Inter-discursive, links between social practices and linguistic practices, critical realism, post structuralism).

Data Collection

CNN News, Washington DC, January 14, 2026.

Data: *Trump claims on good authority that executions in Iran are stopping. We obviously asked the president: Who had told him that those killings had stopped? Because we know that he had been briefed on military options by his team after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets. I just came out of the oval office, where president Trump told reporters inside the room that the killings in Iran have stopped amid those wide scale protests that we have seen playing out, night after night for over two weeks, now in Iran.*

Data: CNN News, Washington DC, April 08, 2026.

Day 39 of Middle East conflict – US, Israel, Iran agrees to ceasefire before Trump’s deadline. Trump says US will help with “traffic buildup” through Strait of Hormuz. Iran’s uranium will be

Ali

“perfectly taken care of”. Trump claims “total and complete victory” following Iran ceasefire deal. Trump says he believes China helped get Iran to negotiate ceasefire. US, Israel flags set on fire in Tehran as Iranian express skepticism over ceasefire. Iran and Oman to charge ships passing through Strait of Hormuz during ceasefire, state media says. White House deems two-week ceasefire “a victory for the United States”. Pakistan helped broker the US-Iran ceasefire. Here’s why it could be a good venue for any peace talks. Graham says Congress would need to approve the proposal to end Iran war. Iran claims victory says it forced US to accept 10-point plan. Pope Leo says threats against Iran are “truly unacceptable”.

Data Analysis

Micro Level (Textual Analysis) (Description)

Syntactic Analysis

Data: *Trump claims on good authority that executions in Iran are stopping.*

Analysis: The sentence is a complex sentence containing a main (independent) clause and a subordinate clause (dependent)

Clause Breakdown: Main Clause (Independent Clause): Trump claims on good authority

Subject: Trump (Proper Noun)
(Verb) (Present tense)

Verb: claims

Adverbial Phrase: on good authority (Prepositional Phrase modifying the verb “claims”, indicating source and reliability of the information, and how the claim was made)

Subordinate Clause (Dependent Clause): that executions in Iran are stopping. This is a noun clause acting as the direct object of the main verb claims. Subordinating Conjunction: that

Subject: executions (Noun) Prepositional Phrase (modifying “executions”): in Iran

Verb Phrase: are stopping (Verb, Present Continuous Tense)

The sentence is an example of a statement where a speaker attributes a piece of information to a source without directly quoting the source’s words in their original form (indirect speech). The

structure is common in news reporting to convey claims and their alleged basis.

Linguistic Analysis (Lexical Choices and Word Use):

Trump claims on good authority that executions in Iran are stopping.

“Trump claims”: The use of “claims” (instead of “states”, “announces”, or “confirms”) subtly questions the veracity of the information. “Claim” can imply an assertion made without definitive proof.

“On good authority”: This phrase is an appeal to an unnamed, external source to add legitimacy without providing concrete evidence. The source’s credibility is asserted, not demonstrated. It positions the speaker as having access to privileged, reliable information. **“Executions in Iran are stopping”:** The use of the present continuous tense “are stopping” suggests an ongoing and immediate process with current relevance.

The sentence structure is a single, active voice clause where “Trump” is the agent and the information is the object. This highlights Trump as the source and the action as his communication of the “claim”.

Syntactic Analysis

Data: *We obviously asked the president: Who had told him that those killings had stopped? Because we know that he had been briefed on military options by his team after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets.*

Analysis: The provided text is a compound complex sentence expressing skepticism about a President’s claim that killings/executions stopped, questioning *who* informed him and highlighting prior military threats, requiring syntactic analysis.

Main Clause: **We** (Subject) (Personal Pronoun) **obviously** (Adverbial Adjunct) **asked** (Transitive Verb) (Past Tense) **the president** (Object) (Noun Phrase).

Noun Clause: (direct object of ‘asked’) **“who** (interrogative subject) **had told** (past perfect, active voice) **him** (indirect object) **that** (complementizer) **those killings** (demonstrative noun phrase) **had stopped** (past perfect, intransitive)?” is an embedded interrogative content clause.

Ali

Adverbial Clause of reason: “because (coordinating conjunction) we know that he had been briefed on military options by his team after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets.” This clause explains *why* they asked the question.

Embedded Noun Clause: “that he had been briefed on military options by his team” (object)

Adverbial Clause of Time/Condition: “after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets” modifies “had been briefed”, telling *when* or under *what* circumstances.

In essence, the sentence structures a rhetorical question by framing it within context, emphasizing the absurdity of the President’s claim by linking it to his prior documented threats, making the request for the source of his information a pointed challenge.

Linguistic Analysis (Lexical Choices and Word Use)

Data: *We obviously asked the president: Who had told him that those killings had stopped? Because we know that he had been briefed on military options by his team after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets.*

Analysis; Modality and Certainty: The speaker uses the high-certainty adverb “obviously” and the definitive verb “know”. These choices frame their challenging query to the president not as a mere question, but as a confrontation based on established, undeniable facts.

Tense and Aspect: The text contrasts the past perfect (“had been briefed,” “had threatened”) with the current situation (“killings had stopped”). This highlights a causal sequence where presidential action (the threat) supposedly led to a specific outcome (the cessation of killings).

Lexical Choice: The term “military options” is used as a formal, institutional euphemism for potential war, while “kill protestors in the streets” uses vivid, evocative language to heighten the moral stakes of the situation.

Syntactic Analysis

Data: *I just came out of the oval office, where president Trump told reporters inside the room that the killings in Iran have stopped amid*

those wide scale protests that we have seen playing out, night after night for over two weeks, now in Iran.

Analysis: The sentence is a complex-compound consisting of a main independent clause followed by several subordinate (dependent) clauses.

Subject (Main): “I” (Personal Pronoun)

Verb (Main): “came” (Intransitive Verb)

Adverb: “just” (Modifies “came”), “now” (Temporal Marker)

Proper Nouns: “Oval Office,” “Trump,” “Iran” (Specific Entities)

Adjectives: “wide scale” (Modifies “Protest”)

Temporal Adjuncts: “night after night,” “for over two weeks,” and “now”.

Main independent clause: “I just came out (Past Tense) of the oval office”

Adjective Clause (Relative Clause): “where president Trump told reporters inside the room” (Modifies “Oval Office”)

Noun Clause (Direct Object): “that the killings in Iran have stopped” (Present Perfect Tense) (Functions as the object of what Trump “told” reporters).

Adjective Clause (Relative Clause): “that we have seen playing out, (Present Perfect Continuous aspect) night after night for over two weeks, now in Iran.” (Modifies “protest”)

“Amid those wide scale protests” (prepositional phrase): Establishes the temporal and situational context.

Linguistic Analysis (Lexical Choices and Word Use)

Killings: This emotive and stark term highlights the seriousness and brutality of the situation.

Wide Scale Protests: Emphasizes the significance and widespread nature of the social unrest.

Night after Night: Repetitive phrasing emphasizes the duration and ongoing nature of the conflict.

Amid Those Wide Scale Protests: Establishes the temporal and situational text.

Data: CNN News, Washington DC, April 08, 2026.

Day 39 of Middle East conflict – US, Israel, Iran agrees to ceasefire before Trump’s deadline.

Syntactic and Linguistic Analysis (Lexical Choices and Word Use)

Analysis: The sentence is structured as a headline, which often omits articles (like “The”) and uses present tense to represent recent past events.

Subject: “US, Israel, Iran” (Compound Subject-Appositive) (Three Proper Nouns)

Verb: “agree” (Present Tense used for immediate past)

Object: “to ceasefire” (Prepositional Phrase acting as an infinitive complement)

Modifier: “before Trump’s deadline” (Prepositional Phrase acting as an adverbial of time)

Contextual Header: “Day 39 of middle east conflict-” (Noun Phrase acting as a temporal setting).

Ellipsis: Article (The/A).

POS Category	Count	Examples
Nouns/Proper Nouns	8	Day, east, conflict, US, Israel, Iran, Trump, Deadline.
Verb	1	Agree
Adjective	1	middle
Preposition/Others	4	Of, to, before, ’s
Numbers	1	39

Day (A Singular Common Noun) **39** (A Cardinal Number) **of** (Preposition) **Middle** (Adjective)

East (Noun) conflict (Noun) – **US, Israel, Iran** (Proper Nouns) **agrees** (Verb) (Present Tense Plural Action) **to** (particle, part of the infinitive) **ceasefire** (singular common noun) **before** (Preposition) (Temporal Relationship) **Trump’s** (Proper Noun) (Possessive) **deadline** (Noun).

Type of Clause: Independent Clause

Direct speech representation: “The US, Israel, and Iran have agreed to a ceasefire before Trump’s deadline”.

Indirect (Reported) Speech Analysis: It was announced that the US, Israel, and Iran had agreed to a ceasefire before the deadline.

Active Voice: The sentence is in the active voice. The subjects (US, Israel, Iran) are performing the action (agreeing) on the object (ceasefire).

Passive Voice Conversion: The object (ceasefire) becomes the subject. A ceasefire was agreed to by the US, Israel, and Iran before Trump's deadline on Day 39 of the Middle East Conflict.

Lexical Choices (Vocabulary): Headlines use war-related terms: "ceasefire," "attacks," "conflict," "war," "strait of Hormuz," "deadly," "death toll."

Trump-Centric Framing: The text frames the ceasefire around Donald Trump, highlighting a "deadline," "threat," and an ultimatum that Iran's leadership would be "living in hell" or "taken out" if they did not comply.

Use of Metaphor: Trump's threat of destroying Iranian infrastructure is described in apocalyptic terms ("whole civilization will die"), framing the conflict as a binary choice between total destruction and submission.

Binary Portrayal: The text separates "US-Israel" as a unified actor versus "Iran," with "Lebanon" added as a caveat in Israeli statements.

Data: *Trump says US will help with "traffic buildup" through Strait of Hormuz. Iran's uranium will be "perfectly taken care of". Trump claims "total and complete victory" following Iran ceasefire deal. Trump says he believes China helped get Iran to negotiate ceasefire. US, Israel flags set on fire in Tehran as Iranian express skepticism over ceasefire. Iran and Oman to charge ships passing through Strait of Hormuz during ceasefire, state media says. White House deems two-week ceasefire "a victory for the United States". Pakistan helped broker the US-Iran ceasefire. Here's why it could be a good venue for any peace talks. Graham says Congress would need to approve the proposal to end Iran war. Iran claims victory says it forced US to accept 10-point plan. Pope Leo says threats against Iran are "truly unacceptable".*

Ali

Transitivity and Agency: Headlines use “victory” as a competing metaphor. Active verbs like “charge” and “broker” assign agency to Iran, Oman, and Pakistan.

Modality and Hyperbole: Trump employs high-certainty, hyperbolic language (“perfectly taken care of,” “total and complete victory”) to signal dominance.

Evaluative Adjectives: The Pope uses moral evaluative language (“truly unacceptable”) to characterize US military threats as outside the bounds of human civilization.

Metaphor: The “Golden Age of the Middle East” metaphor used by Trump frames the ceasefire not as a tense pause, but as a commercial opportunity (“Big money will be made”).

Syntactic Structures

Main Clause: Trump says

Subordinate Noun Clause (Direct Object): US will help with “traffic buildup” through Strait of Hormuz.

Complex sentence with an implied “that” clause (Noun Clause) acting as the direct object of the verb “says.”

Status: reported speech.

Main Clause: Iran’s uranium will be “perfectly taken care of”.

Status: Independent clause in the passive voice.

It is Simple sentence in the Passive Voice, focusing on the object (**uranium**) rather than the actor.

Main Clause: Trump claims “total and complete victory”.

Prepositional Phrase (Modifier): following Iran ceasefire deal.

Simple sentence with a prepositional phrase (“**following...**”) acting as an adverbial of time.

Main Clause: Trump says

Subordinate Noun Clause: (that) he believes

Second Subordinate Noun Clause: China helped get Iran to negotiate ceasefire.

Main Clause: US, Israel flags set on fire in Tehran.

Subordinate Adverbial Clause (Time/Condition): as Iranians express skepticism over ceasefire.

Main Clause: Iran and Oman to charge ships passing through Strait of Hormuz during ceasefire

Reporting Clause: state media says.

Infinitive Phrase used as a future-tense headline construction “**(are) to charge**”.

Main Clause: White House deems two-week ceasefire “a victory for the United States”.

Status: Independent clause with a complex object (“two-week ceasefire”) and object complement (“a victory...”).

Main Clause: Pakistan helped broker the US-Iran ceasefire.

Simple sentence with a Zero-Infinitive construction “**helped (to) broker**”.

Main Clause: Here is

Subordinate Noun Clause (subject complement): why it could be a good venue for any peace talks.

Main Clause: Graham says

Subordinate Noun Clause (direct object): (that) Congress would need to approve the proposal to end Iran war.

Main Clause 1: Iran claims victory

Main Clause 2: (and) says

Subordinate Noun Clause (direct object): (that) it forced US to accept 10-point plan.

Main Clause: Pope Leo says

Subordinate Noun Clause (direct object): (that) threats against Iran are truly are unacceptable.

Complex sentence with a Noun Clause direct object (“**threats against Iran are truly are unacceptable**”).

POS Analysis

Trump: Proper Noun (Subject of the main clause). **Says:** Verb (Transitive, present tense).

US: Proper Noun (Subject of the subordinate clause). **Will help:** Verb Phrase (Future Tense auxiliary “will” + base verb “help”).
With: Preposition (Showing relationship between “help” and “buildup”). **Traffic buildup:** Compound Noun (Object of the preposition “through”).

Through: Preposition (Indicating direction/location). **Strait of Hormuz:** Proper Noun (Object of the preposition “through”).
Iran’s: Proper Noun (Possessive case). **Uranium:** Noun (Subject of the sentence). **Will be taken care of:** Phrasal Verb [Future Passive; “will” (auxiliary) + “be” (auxiliary) + “taken” (past participle) + “care of”]. **Perfectly:** Adverb. **Trump:** Proper Noun (Subject).
Claims: Verb (Transitive). **Total and complete:** Adjectives (Modifying the noun “victory”). **Victory:** Noun (Direct Object).
Following: Preposition (Temporal Relation). **Iran Ceasefire Deal:** Compound Noun/Noun Phrase (Object of the preposition). **Trump:** Proper Noun (Subject). **Says:** Action Verb (Main Verb, Present Tense). **He:** Personal Pronoun (Subjective). **Believes:** Stative Verb (Mental Action). **China:** Proper Noun (Object of Belief).

Helped: Action Verb (Past Tense). **Get:** Action Verb (Infinitive Verb). **Iran:** Proper Noun.

To: Preposition (Part of Infinitive). **Negotiate:** Action Verb (Base Form). **Ceasefire:** Abstract Noun. **US:** Proper Noun (Adjective Usage). **Israel:** Proper Noun (Adjective Usage). **Flags:** Common Noun (Plural). **Set:** Action Verb (Past Participle). **On:** Preposition. **Fire:** Common Noun (Concrete). **In:** Preposition. **Tehran:** Proper Noun (Place). **As:** Conjunction (Connects Clauses). **Iranians:** Proper Noun (Group/Plural). **Express:** Action Verb (Present Tense).

Skepticism: Abstract Noun. **Over:** Preposition. **Ceasefire:** Abstract Noun. **Iran and Oman:** Proper Nouns (Compound Subject). **To charge:** Infinitive Verb (Future Action). **Ships:** Noun (Direct Object). **Passing through:** Participial phrase (Modifying

“ships”). **Strait of Hormuz**: Proper Noun (Compound Place). **During**: Preposition. **Ceasefire**: Abstract Noun. **State**: Adjective/Common Noun (Modifying Media). **Media**: Common Noun (Collective/Uncountable). **Says**: Action Verb (Present Tense). **White house**: Proper Noun (Compound Noun). **Deems**: Stative/Action Verb Present Tense. **Two-week**: Adjective (Compound Modifier). **Ceasefire**: Common Noun. **A**: Article/Determiner. **Victory**: Abstract Noun. **For**: Preposition. **The**: Article/Determiner. **United States**: Proper Noun (Compound). **Pakistan**: Proper Noun (Subject). **Helped**: Verb (Transitive). **Broker**: Verb (Infinitive acting as object/complement). **The US-Iran ceasefire**: Noun Phrase (Direct Object of “broker”). **Here’s**: Adverb + Verb (Here is). **Why**: Adverb (Interrogative). **It**: Personal Pronoun. **Could**: Helping Verb (Modal). **Be**: Linking Verb (Base Form). **A**: Indefinite Article. **Good**: Adjective. **Venue**: Common Noun (Concrete). **For**: Preposition. **Peace**: Abstract Noun. **Talks**: Common Noun (Plural). **Graham**: Proper Noun (Subject). **Says**: Action Verb (Present). **Congress**: Collective Noun (Proper Noun). **Would**: Helping Verb (Modal). **Need**: Stative Verb (Main Verb) **To**: Particle (Marks the infinitive form of a Verb). **Approve**: Action Verb (Base Form). **The**: Definite Article. **Proposal**: Common Noun (Abstract). **To**: Particle (Marks the infinitive form of a Verb). **End**: Action Verb (Base form). **Iran**: Proper Noun (Adjective Usage). **War**: Common Noun (Abstract). **Iran**: Proper Noun. **Claims**: Action Verb (Present). **Victory**: Abstract Noun. **Says**: Action Verb (Present). **It**: Pronoun. **Forced**: Action Verb (Past). **US**: Proper Noun (Object). **To**: Particle. **Accept**: Action Verb (Base form). **10-point**: Adjective (Compound modifier). **Plan**: Common Noun. **Pope Leo**: Proper

Ali

Noun (Subject). **Says:** Action Verb (Present) (Transitive). **Threats:** Abstract Noun (Plural) (Subject of the Noun Clause).

Against: Preposition. **Are:** Linking Verb (Present Tense). **Truly:** Adverb (Modifying Adjective “unacceptable”). **Unacceptable:** Adjective (Subject Complement) (Describes threats).

Data: *Trump claims on good authority that executions in Iran are stopping. We obviously asked the president: Who had told him that those killings had stopped? Because we know that he had been briefed on military options by his team after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets. I just came out of the oval office, where president Trump told reporters inside the room that the killings in Iran have stopped amid those wide scale protests that we have seen playing out, night after night for over two weeks, now in Iran.*

Linguistic Analysis

The news followed Trump’s public warnings of serious consequences and reports that his team had briefed him on military strike options.

Discourse Characteristics	Description and Analysis
Speaker/Source	Reporters in the Oval Office asked the question; the President responded.
Core Query	Who exactly informed the President that the killings had ceased?
Presidential Claim	Information came from “very important sources on the other side” (Iranian Officials)
Military Context	The question was prompted by recent briefings on strike options and a “locked and loaded” posture.
Strategic Ambiguity	Despite the claim of halted violence, the U.S. maintained that “all options remain on the table”.

Verification Status	At the time of the discourse, the President admitted the U.S. had yet to verify the claims of de-escalation.
Immediate Outcome	Iran's Foreign Minister later told Fox News that "hanging is out of the question"

Feature	Linguistic Element	Function
Syntactic	Indirect Speech	Distances speaker from the truth value.
Lexical	"Good Authority"	Vagueness; implies credibility without proof.
Semantic	Claims	Marks information as unverified.
Discourse	De-escalation framing	Justifies inaction or shift in strategy.

Feature	Linguistic Analysis And Examples
Syntactic Structure	Complex sentence with multiple subordinate clauses: one relative/interrogative ("who had told him...") and one causal ("because we know...").
Morphology	Use of the past perfect tense ("had told", "had been briefed").
Deixis	Personal: "Trump", "Good Authority", "we", "him", "he", "his team"; Temporal: "after"; Spatial: "in the streets".
Modality	Epistemic modality via the adverb "obviously", asserting the necessity or logical certainty of the action.
Semantics	Use of high-stakes political lexicon: "military options", "threatened action", "briefed", and "protestors".
Cohesion	Logical link created by the conjunction "because" connects the journalist's question to the President's prior intelligence briefing.

Pragmatics	The phrase “we obviously asked” implies a journalistic duty to hold leadership accountable given the military gravity of the situation.
Anaphora	“Him” and “He” refer back to “the president”.

Text Word	Phonetic Category
Obviously	Mixed (V/C)
President	Consonant-Heavy
Killings	Nasal/Lateral
Briefed	Voiced/Voiceless
Threatened	Dental/Nasal
Streets	Sibilant/Stop

Text Word	Phonetic Category	Pragmatic Function
Good Authority	Oral Stop and Fricative	Establishes Credibility via vague attribution
Stopping, Stopped	Sibilant and Plosive	Uses repetition and tense-shifting to create a sense of finality.
No Plan	Nasal and Lateral	Employs absolute negation to reassure his audience.
Very Important Sources	Alveolar Fricative and Labial	Uses superlative adjectives and intensifying adverbs. Information is high-quality, reputable and trusted.
Thank You!	Dental Fricative	Direct address to the adversary to signal a diplomatic win

Claim Component	Semantic Type	Claim Component	Semantic Type
On Good Authority	Epistemic Modality	Killing is Stopping	Aspectual State
No plan for executions	Intentionality	800 (hangings) Cancelled	Quantification

Watch and See	Contingent Action	Wide Scale Protests	Collocation
---------------	-------------------	---------------------	-------------

Semiotic Category	Semiotic Type	Application to the Question/Statement
Signifier	Linguistic Token	The specific spoken words: “Who had told him that those killings had stopped?”
Signified	Epistemic Concept	The demand for verification and the underlying skepticism regarding the President’s “authority” on the matter.
Speech Act	Directive/Interrogative	A direct challenge aimed at eliciting a specific source, used as a tool for public accountability.
Modality	Alethic (Truth-Value)	The conflict between “knowing” (briefed on military options) and “believing” (the claim that killings stopped).
Presupposition	Intertextual Frame	The question assumes the President was told by someone, while the follow-up “because we know...” frames his statement as potentially contradictory to military briefings.

Speech Act Type	Application And Analysis
------------------------	---------------------------------

Locutionary Assertive	The physical utterance of the words about Trump and Iran. Literally, it is a statement of fact claiming that a change in Iranian state behavior is occurring.
Illocutionary Strategic	The act of asserting or claiming a fact as true. Trump is signaling to both domestic and international audiences that his administration's "red lines" and military threats are effectively deterring the Iranian regime.
Perlocutionary Diplomatic	The effect on the listener (e.g., convincing them or causing skepticism). The intended effect is likely to provide a "de-escalation off-ramp" to avoid immediate military conflict, as seen in his simultaneous threats of military action.

Pragmatic Characteristic	Specific Type	Application And Analysis
Speech Act	Illocutionary Act	The act of questioning serves as a "Directive," specifically a challenge to the president's credibility.
Presupposition	Pragmatic/Factual	The phrasing "killings had stopped" presupposes that widespread killings were occurring prior to the statement.
Conversational Implicature	Particularized Implicature	Mentioning the "briefed on military options" implies the president's claim may be a strategic de-escalation rather than a verified fact.

Cooperative Principle	Maxim of Quality (Questioning)	The reporter is testing the truthfulness (Quality) of the president's source.
Context	Situational/Physical	The interaction occurs in the Oval Office, where the power distance between journalist and president is high.
Deixis	Personal and Temporal Deixis	"We" refers to the press corps; "him" refers to Trump; "had been" marks the chronological sequence of military briefings vs. his public statement.
Politeness Strategy	Face-Threatening Act	Directly asking "who told him" is an FTA that challenges the president's "Positive Face" by questioning his transparency.

Pragmatic Characteristic	Analysis
Gricean Maxim of Quality (Truthfulness)	Critics and Iranian officials argued Trump violated this maxim, claiming his figure of "800 cancelled executions" was unfounded or "nonsense".
Temporal Context	The claim was made shortly after reports that over 2,400-3,100 people had been killed in a protest crackdown. The statement functioned as a justification for pausing potential U.S strikes.

Linguistic Analysis

Data: CNN News, Washington DC, April 08, 2026.

Day 39 of Middle East conflict – US, Israel, Iran agrees to ceasefire before Trump’s deadline.

Key Phonetic Contrasts in the Headlines

- **Conflict vs. Ceasefire:** Contrasts the harsh voiceless /k/ and /fl/ cluster in “conflict” with the soft sibilance of “ceasefire” (/s/, /f/).
- **Israel vs. Iran:** Notice the difference between the sibilant /z/ in Israel and the nasal /n/ in Iran.
- **Trump’s vs. Troops:** Common confusion in speech involving the /ʌ/ sound and /mps/ cluster.

Word	Morphological Breakdown	Type
Middle	Middle	Free
Conflict	Con + flict	Root
Agreed	Agree (free) + d (bound)	Inflectional
Ceasefire	Cease (free) + fire (free)	Compound
Trump’s	Trump (free) + ’s (bound)	Inflectional

Semantic Analysis (Word-by-Word Meaning)

Word/Phrase	Literal/Semantic Meaning	Contextual Meaning in Headline
Day 39	The 39 th consecutive day.	Indicates a prolonged, sustained conflict, suggesting exhaustion of resources or urgency for peace.
of	Belonging to; indicating relationship	Links the time duration to the specific conflict.
Middle east	Geographical Region (West Asia)	Identifies the conflict location, traditionally associated with high instability.

conflict	Serious disagreement, Armed Struggle.	Represents the war/hostilities between the mentioned parties.
US	United States of America	Acts as a principal party, likely leading coalition forces.
Israel	State of Israel	Identified as an active combatant against Iran.
Iran	Islamic republic of Iran	Identified as the opponent facing U.S./Israeli Strikes.
agree	Come to a mutual understanding.	Suggests a diplomatic breakthrough or a temporary suspension of hostilities.
to	Preposition indicating direction/action	Connects the parties to the action.
ceasefire	A temporary suspension of fighting	Specifically, a two-week pause in the war.
before	Earlier than a specific time	Emphasizes the high stakes, last-minute nature of the agreement.
Trump's	Belonging to Donald Trump	Identifies the U.S. President as the lead actor imposing the timeframe.
Deadline	The latest time by which something must be done.	The, 11 th -hour, 8 p.m. ET limit imposed for Iran to reopen the Strait of Hormuz.

Semiotic Analysis (Signification and Cultural/Political Symbols)

Element	Signifier (Form)	Signified (Meaning/Concept)
Day 39	Numerology of conflict.	Implies a "Second Act" of war, referencing the 35-day or 45-day milestones common in military history, signaling the failure of quick victory.

US, Israel, Iran	Political Entities.	Represents a, polarized “The West vs. Iran” paradigm. The inclusion of Israel alongside the US indicates a unified front against Iran.
Ceasefire	Temporary Truce.	A sign of exhaustion or strategic pause. It is not “peace,” but a suspension, suggesting the conflict is paused rather than resolved.
Trump’s Deadline	Ultimatum from a leader.	Symbolizes “America First” brinkmanship. It frames the conflict as persona-driven, relying on personal negotiation and threats (“obliteration” threats).
Strait of Hormuz	Geographical Chokepoint	Symbolizes economic power over global oil prices. Controlling it signifies economic dominance.
11 th -hour deal	The Timing.	Symbolizes dramatic, chaotic, and volatile diplomacy.

Deixis Analysis; Deixis refers to words that rely on the context to be understood.

Category	Text	Analysis/Reference
Personal	“US”, “Israel”, “Iran”, “Trump”.	Third-person referents identifying the agents.
Temporal	“Day 39”, “before”, “deadline”.	Relates to the 39 th day of the conflict. Specifically Tuesday, April 7, 2026, 8pm EDT.
Spatial	“Middle East”, “Strait of Hormuz”	Proximal (Implicit) for the region of conflict.
Discourse	“that”, “this”	Used by Trump in posts to refer to the war/civilization threat.

Speech Act Analysis

Act	Component	Analysis in Context
Locution	What was said	“US, Israel, Iran agree to ceasefire before Trump’s deadline.”
Illocution	What was meant	Proclaiming victory, commanding a halt to violence, and notifying the public.
Perlocution	What actually happened	Markets rose, military paused temporarily, but skepticism remained and sporadic attacks continued.

Pragmatic Analysis: Pragmatics examines how context contributes to meaning, focusing on implicit meanings and speech acts.

Pragmatic Concept	Example in Scenario	Analysis
Speech Act (Directive)	“reopen the Strait of Hormuz”	Trump issuing a command via deadline, requiring action from Iran.
Speech Act (Threat)	“whole civilization will die”	Trump’s ultimatum warning of massive destruction (Hyperbole used as a tool of coercion.
Presupposition	“Day 39 of ...conflict”	Assumes an ongoing state of war and prior knowledge of the conflict.
Conversational Implicature	“ceasefire”/ “total victory”	While called a ceasefire, it implies a tactical pause (“re-equip”) rather than peace.
Cooperative Principle	Iran’s 10-point plan	Iran is attempting to satisfy the Gricean Maxims of Manner (clear, orderly) in its response.
Face-Threatening Act	“Israel says no Lebanon ceasefire”	Netanyahu negating the broader US-Iran agreement, challenging the unity of the deal.

Politeness Theory	“don’t drop those bombs”	Trump’s public appeal to Israel to adhere to the truce (Directives softened by direct address).
-------------------	--------------------------	---

Discourse Feature Analysis

Discourse Feature	Analysis and Context
Primary Actors	US (Trump), Iran (Ayatollah Mojtaba Khamenei), Israel (Benjamin Netanyahu); mediated by Pakistan.
Core Condition	The immediate, safe reopening of the Strait of Hormuz to global maritime traffic.
Duration	14 days (two weeks), intended to facilitate further diplomacy.
Mediator Role	Pakistan (PM Shehbaz Sharif) brokered the deal; follow-up talks are set for April 11 in Islamabad.
Discursive Framing	US: Framed as “total victory” and a result of “maximum leverage”. Iran: Framed as a “victory” for national unity that forced the US to accept their 10-point plan.
Contradictory Claims	Lebanon Status: Pakistan claimed the truce includes Lebanon; Israel explicitly stated it does not, continuing strikes on Hezbollah.
Economic Impact	Global oil prices plummeted (Brent crude fell below \$95/barrel) and Asian stock markets surged.
Nuclear Stance	Trump claimed Iran’s Uranium would be “perfectly taken care of,” while Iran’s Farsi statements mentioned “acceptance of enrichment”.

Linguistic Analysis

Data: CNN News, Washington DC, April 08, 2026.

Trump says US will help with “traffic buildup” through Strait of Hormuz. Iran’s uranium will be “perfectly taken care of”. Trump

claims “total and complete victory” following Iran ceasefire deal. Trump says he believes China helped get Iran to negotiate ceasefire. US, Israel flags set on fire in Tehran as Iranian express skepticism over ceasefire. Iran and Oman to charge ships passing through Strait of Hormuz during ceasefire, state media says. White House deems two-week ceasefire “a victory for the United States”. Pakistan helped broker the US-Iran ceasefire. Here’s why it could be a good venue for any peace talks. Graham says Congress would need to approve the proposal to end Iran war. Iran claims victory says it forced US to accept 10-point plan. Pope Leo says threats against Iran are “truly unacceptable”.

Active vs. Passive Voice Analysis

The reporting uses **active voice** to emphasize the primary actors taking initiative (e.g., Trump, Pakistan, protestors), while passive voice is used for outcomes or specific actions where the receiver is the focus.

Active Voice (Actor → Action → Object):

- **Trump says** US will help with traffic build up....
- **Trump claims** total and complete victory....
- **Pakistan helped** broker the US-Iran ceasefire.
- **Iranians express** skepticism over the ceasefire.
- **Protestors set** US and Israel flags on fire in Tehran.
- **White house deems** two-week ceasefire a victory....

Passive Voice (Object → Action → Actor):

- Iran’s uranium **will be perfectly taken care of** (Agent implied: US/IAEA).
- US, Israel flags **(were) set** on fire in Tehran....
- Proposal **would need to be approved** by Congress (Action by Congress).
- U.S. **was forced** to accept a 10-point plan (Action by Iran).

Direct vs. Indirect Speech Analysis

Direct speech is used to capture official quotes, while indirect speech (reporting) summarizes the broader narrative.

Direct Speech (Exact Quotes):

- **Trump:** “Total and complete victory. 100 percent. No question about it.”

Ali

- **Trump:** “We will be loading up with supplies of all kinds...just hanging around.”
- **Pope Leo:** Threats against Iran are “truly unacceptable.” (Quoted Stance)
- **Araghchi (Iran Foreign Minister):** “Strait of Hormuz is open.”

Indirect/Reported Speech (Summarized Claims):

- **Trump says** he believes China helped get Iran to negotiate.
- **State media says** Iran and Oman will charge ships passing through the Strait.
- **Graham says** Congress would need to approve the proposal.
- **Iran claims** it forced the US to accept a 10-point plan.
- **White House deems** the ceasefire a victory.

Word	Phonetic Features
Trump	Voiced labial nasal (/m/); Voiceless labial stop (/p/); Alveolar plosive (/t/).
Says	Voiced Alveolar Fricative (/z/); Voiceless Alveolar Fricative (/s/).
Help	Voiceless labial stop (/p/); Glottal Fricative (/h/); Lateral liquid (/l/).
Traffic	Voiceless labiodentals Fricative (/f/); Voiceless velar stop (/k/).
Strait	Alveolar stops (/t/) framing a long closing diphthong.
Hormuz	Voiced labial nasal (/m/); Voiced alveolar fricative (/z/).
Uranium	Diphthong (/ei/); Voiced labial nasal (/m/); Alveolar nasal (/n/).
Victory	Voiced labiodentals Fricative (/v/); Voiceless velar stop (/k/).
Ceasefire	Voiceless labiodentals Fricative (/f/); long high front vowel (/i/).
China	Voiceless Palato Alveolar affricate (/tʃ/); Alveolar nasal (/n/).
Tehran	Glottal Fricative (/h/); Alveolar nasal (/n/).

Broker	Voiced Bilabial stop (/b/); Voiceless velar stop (/k/).
Pakistan	Voiceless Bilabial stop (/p/); Alveolar Fricative (/s/) and nasal (/n/).
Unacceptable	Voiced Bilabial stop (/b/); Lateral liquid (/l/); Velar stop (/k/).

Morphological Analysis

Free Morphemes: These can stand alone as complete words.

- **Content (Lexical) Morphemes:** Carry the primary meaning of the sentence. Trump, Iran, China, US, help, war, plan, flags.
- **Function (Grammatical) Morphemes:** Provide grammatical structure. Says, will, with, of, and, he, the, for.

Bound Morphemes: These cannot stand alone and must be attached to a root or stem.

- **Derivational Morphemes:** Change the word's meaning or grammatical category (parts of speech).
Perfectly: Root *perfect* + suffix *-ly* (changes adjective to adverb).
Reconstruction: Root *construct* + prefix *re-* (again) + suffix *-ion* (changes verb to noun).
Unacceptable: Root *accept* + prefix *un-* (not) + suffix *-able* (able to be).
Skepticism: Root *skeptic* + suffix *-ism* (state or quality).
- **Inflectional Morphemes:** Change the grammatical form (tense, number, possession) without changing the word's basic meaning.
Claims: Root *claim* + suffix *-s* (third person singular present tense).
Helped: Root *help* + suffix *-ed* (past tense).
Iranians: Root *Iran* + suffix *-ian* (derivational) + suffix *-s* (inflectional plural).
Ships: Root *ship* + suffix *-s* (plural).

Compound Morphemes: These consist of two or more free morphemes joined together.

- **Ceasefire:** *cease* (stop) + *fire* (shooting).
- **Buildup:** *build* + *up*.

- **White House:** *White + House.*

Zero Morphemes: Occur when a word changes its grammatical function without any visible change in form.

- **Hit:** Used as both a verb (“infrastructure hit”) and potentially a noun in other contexts.
- **Plan:** Used as both a noun (“10-point plan”) and a verb.

Semiotic Analysis

Signifier (Sign/Action)	Signified (Meaning for US/Trump)	Signified (Meaning for Iran)	Interpretation (Context)
“Two-Week Ceasefire”	A “total and complete victory”; a pause to force a “REAL AGREEMENT”	A “10-point plan” victory; forcing the US to accept terms.	A fragile, tactical pause used by both sides for regrouping and perception management.
Strait of Hormuz	“Traffic buildup” help; ensuring international passage/reopening.	Controlling passage; charging fees; asserting regional sovereignty.	A major “flash point,” Iran seeks to weaponize it, US seeks to internationalize it.
“Uranium/Nuclear”	“Perfectly taken care of”; total surrender of materials.	Unmentioned or ignored in English, highlighted in Farsi; keeping program.	High-stakes deception; missing from English text suggests Iran “fools” US.
“Total Victory” Claim	Trump asserts strength, bringing Iran to heel.	Iranian state media claims victory over the US-Israel axis.	Performative, domestic-focused messaging for their respective audiences.
Flag Burning/Skepticism	Irrelevant; acts of fringe extremists.	A show of “national unity and resistance”.	Demonstrates grassroots rejection of US, despite leadership negotiations.

China's Role	Helped get Iran to negotiate; acknowledgment of US pressure.	A reliable partner aiding against US hegemony.	Symbolic of shifting power dynamics in the Middle East.
Pakistan Mediation	A neutral broker enabling a deal.	An Islamic ally mediating against Western pressure.	Pakistan emerges as a key geopolitical player in the region.

Pragmatic Speech Act Analysis

Source	Quote/Claim	Speech Act Type
Trump	"...help with 'traffic buildup' through Strait of Hormuz."	Commissive
Trump	Iran's uranium will be "perfectly taken care of."	Commissive/Assertive
Trump	"total and complete victory"	Expressive
Trump	"He believes China helped get Iran to negotiate ceasefire..."	Assertive
Iranians	(Burning flags/skepticism).	Expressive/Directive
Iran/Oman	"charge ships passing through Strait of Hormuz"	Directive
WhiteHouse	"a victory for the United States"	Assertive
Media/ Government	Pakistan helped broker the US-Iran ceasefire.	Assertive
Graham	Congress would need to approve the proposal.	Directive
Iran	Forced US to accept 10-point plan.	Assertive

Pope Leo	Threats against Iran are “truly unacceptable”.	Expressive/Directive
----------	--	----------------------

Deixis Analysis

Type of Deixis	Examples	Reference/Context
Personal	“Trump”, “He”, “I”, “Iranians”, “Pope”, “Leo”, “US”, “us”.	Refers to the participants in the discourse (e.g., “he” refers back to Trump; “us” refers to the United States).
Spatial	“US”, “Strait of Hormuz”, “Tehran”, “Pakistan”, “here”.	Points to specific location. “Here” in “Here’s why it could be a good venue” refers to Pakistan as the physical site for talks.
Temporal	“Will”, “following”, “during”, “two-week”.	Locates the events in time. “Will” denotes future action, while “two-week” defines the current ceasefire period.
Social	“Trump”, “Pope Leo”, “White House”, “Congress”	Encodes the social status of individuals or institutions (e.g., titles like “Pope” or institutional names representing authority).
Discourse	“It”, “this”, “total and complete victory”.	Points to parts of the preceding or upcoming text. “It” refers to the ceasefire deal or the specific proposal being discussed.

Paul Grice Maxims Analysis

Speaker	Statement	Maxim Violated	Implicature (Hidden Meaning)
Trump	“Total and complete victory”	Quality (Truthfulness)	The ceasefire is a “reprieve, not a

			permanent settlement,” meant to spin a stalemate into a win.
Trump	US will help with “traffic buildup”	Manner (Ambiguity)	The US is allowing Iran to manage the Strait, despite previous threats to destroy Iran’s capability.
Trump	China helped get Iran to negotiate	Relation (Relevance)	Acknowledging China’s role (mediated by Pakistan) was necessary to secure the deal, despite “America First” rhetoric.
Iran	Iran’s uranium “taken care of”	Quality (Truthfulness)	Iran has not agreed to fully dismantle its program, contrary to US red lines.
Iran	Claims victory/10-point plan accepted	Quantity (Information)	Iran is framing the deal as forcing the US to back down (a “retreat”).
Iran	Will allow passage “via coordination”	Manner (Ambiguity)	Iran intends to tax/permit ships to fund reconstruction, rather than ensuring free passage.
Iran	10-point plan includes Lebanon ceasefire	Relation (Relevance)	Iran is trying to expand the deal’s scope beyond just

			the Strait, which the US rejects.
WH/Leavitt	Two-week ceasefire “a victory”	Quality (Truthfulness)	It is a partial, temporary win, aimed at stopping the immediate economic crises
Graham	Congress must approve the proposal	Relation (Relevance)	The deal is weak/illegal without legislative oversight, indicating political disagreement in Washington.
Protestors	Flags burned/Skepticism	Manner (Symbolic)	The deal is unpopular; Iranians/allies do not trust the US or the stability of the truce.

Meso Level (Discursive Practice) (Interpretation):

This dimension looks at how the text was produced, distributed, consumed and its inter-textual links.

Data: *Trump claims on good authority that executions in Iran are stopping.*

Production: The statement was likely produced in a specific political context, possibly a press conference.

Intertextuality: The statement implicitly connects to a larger discourse surrounding Iran’s human rights record and international relations.

Consumption/Interpretation: Supporter’s might accept the claim at face value because they trust Trump’s “authority” and view it as a positive outcome of his actions. Critics might focus on the word “claims and the unspecified source “good authority” to question the statement’s truthfulness, seeing it as a self-aggrandizing or manipulative tactic.

Data: *We obviously asked the president: Who had told him that those killings had stopped? Because we know that he had been briefed on military options by his team after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets.*

Intertextuality: The text relies heavily on intertextuality by referencing external briefings and military reports (“we know that he had been briefed”). It challenges the President’s 2026 claim-which he was told on “good authority” the killings stopped-by contrasting it with the internal “military options” previously presented to him.

Genre: This text functions as a **political critique** or journalistic inquiry. It represents a “counter-discourse” that seeks to hold executive power accountable by exposing potential contradictions in the administration’s narrative.

Data: *I just came out of the oval office, where president Trump told reporters inside the room that the killings in Iran have stopped amid those wide scale protests that we have seen playing out, night after night for over two weeks, now in Iran.*

Production: The statement was produced in an ad-hoc, informal setting. This context allows for information to be presented without immediate, rigorous fact-checking or formal evidence, relying instead on the “authority” of the speaker and the source (the President).

Consumption/Interpretation: The source’s ambiguity would be a major red flag. A supportive audience might interpret this as unsubstantiated claim, polarized political discourse, and evidence of President’s Trump’s strong leadership and influence, where his actions (or perhaps sanctions/pressure) led to an immediate halt in violence.

Data: *Day 39 of Middle East conflict – US, Israel, Iran agrees to ceasefire before Trump’s deadline.*

Production: The information is produced by mainstream media (CNN News) based on official statements from US (Trump) and Iranian officials.

Intertextuality: The text draws on previous war reports (39 days of conflict), social media posts from Trump, official statements from Israel’s Netanyahu, and Iranian government statements regarding their “10-point peace plan”.

Consumption: This discourse is aimed at a global audience, investors (noting the “fragile” nature and “market relief”), and political stakeholders, framing it as a “partial win for Trump” while highlighting the “high cost”.

Discourse Type: A mixture of “emergency news coverage” and “military-political analysis” that emphasizes dramatic, last-minute, “11th hour” diplomacy.

Data: *Trump says US will help with “traffic buildup” through Strait of Hormuz. Iran’s uranium will be “perfectly taken care of”. Trump claims “total and complete victory” following Iran ceasefire deal. Trump says he believes China helped get Iran to negotiate ceasefire. US, Israel flags set on fire in Tehran as Iranian express skepticism over ceasefire. Iran and Oman to charge ships passing through Strait of Hormuz during ceasefire, state media says. White House deems two-week ceasefire “a victory for the United States”. Pakistan helped broker the US-Iran ceasefire. Here’s why it could be a good venue for any peace talks. Graham says Congress would need to approve the proposal to end Iran war. Iran claims victory says it forced US to accept 10-point plan. Pope Leo says threats against Iran are “truly unacceptable”.*

Intertextuality: The discourse is a “clash of victories.” The White House and Iranian state media consume the same event but produce radically different narratives – one of “American brokered peace” and the other of “humiliating US retreat.”

Information Warfare: Conflicting reports on a “10-point plan” illustrate a struggle for control over the “truth.” Trump labels mainstream media reports of this report as “HOAX,” indicating a direct confrontation with journalistic institutions.

Diplomatic Intermediation: The inclusion of Pakistan as a broker highlights a shift in traditional power structures, moving from direct US-Iran confrontation to multilateral mediation.

Macro Level (Socio-cultural Practice) (Explanation)

This dimension relates the text and discursive practices to broader social structures, power relations and ideologies.

Data: *Trump claims on good authority that executions in Iran are stopping.*

Power and ideology: The speaker attempts to shape public opinion, assert influence over international affairs specifically Iran's actions, and reinforce his own identity as a strong, effective leader. The ideology at play could be nationalism or a specific foreign policy stance, suggesting that his actions lead to positive changes in "rogue" nations.

Social Context: The statement exists within a complex geopolitical context involving US-Iran relations and international sanctions. The discourse surrounding executions in Iran is a sensitive social problem and Donald Trump is claiming credit for a positive development to gain public support.

Data: *We obviously asked the president: Who had told him that those killings had stopped? Because we know that he had been briefed on military options by his team after he had threatened to action if Iran continued to kill protestors in the streets.*

Power Dynamics: The analysis reveals a struggle for **legitimacy** and **truth-claim**. As of January 2026, the president is attempting to project a "benevolent hegemony" by claiming his threats successfully halted violence in Iran. The speaker, however, uses the discourse of "intelligence" and "briefings" to undermine this narrative.

Ideology: The text reflects an ideology of **interventionism** versus **sovereignty**. By linking "military options" to "killings in the streets," the discourse reinforces the idea that U.S. power is the primary tool for regulating global human rights. It highlights the 2026 geopolitical tension where the U.S. executive uses language as a coercive instrument to influence Iranian domestic policy.

Data: *I just came out of the oval office, where president Trump told reporters inside the room that the killings in Iran have stopped amid those wide scale protests that we have seen playing out, night after night for over two weeks, now in Iran.*

Power and Ideology: This discourse serves an ideological function by presenting a version of events that aligns with a specific political agenda: showcasing strength and effective foreign policy even when facts on the ground (ongoing protests/crackdown) might contradict this narrative.

Social Context: The media act as a conduit for this message. The claim intervenes in a high-stakes international conflict, potentially

Ali

influencing public opinion, domestic political narratives, and international pressure on the Iranian regime.

Data: *Day 39 of Middle East conflict – US, Israel, Iran agrees to ceasefire before Trump’s deadline.*

Power Dynamics (Hegemony): The text reinforces US global dominance, with a US President setting a deadline for a foreign nation and threatening to destroy its infrastructure. It showcases the US’s ability to dictate global trade routes (Strait of Hormuz).

Ideology: The discourse portrays the West (US-Israel) as a “rational” force trying to maintain stability, while portraying Iranian leadership as “defiant”.

Sociocultural Context: The conflict is described as having caused a “global energy crisis” and “3,400+ deaths,” framing it as a major, high-stakes geopolitical war, rather than a minor altercation.

Ideological Struggle: The struggle is over the definition of the event: Trump claims a “big day for world peace,” while Iran describes the threats as “delusional” and the truce as a “victory”.

Exclusions: While the text mentions 3,400+ deaths, the focus is heavily on the strategic maneuvering of leaders, rather than the civilian impact of the “5 weeks of war”.

Data: *Trump says US will help with “traffic buildup” through Strait of Hormuz. Iran’s uranium will be “perfectly taken care of”. Trump claims “total and complete victory” following Iran ceasefire deal. Trump says he believes China helped get Iran to negotiate ceasefire. US, Israel flags set on fire in Tehran as Iranian express skepticism over ceasefire. Iran and Oman to charge ships passing through Strait of Hormuz during ceasefire, state media says. White House deems two-week ceasefire “a victory for the United States”. Pakistan helped broker the US-Iran ceasefire. Here’s why it could be a good venue for any peace talks. Graham says Congress would need to approve the proposal to end Iran war. Iran claims victory says it forced US to accept 10-point plan. Pope Leo says threats against Iran are “truly unacceptable”.*

Geopolitical Hegemony: The tension between “American Century” and “End of US Dominance” reveals a systematic shift in global power. Trump’s insistence on “hanging around” the Strait of Hormuz reflects the persistence of US naval hegemony, even as it is challenged by Iran’s new “tollgate” system.

Moral vs. Political Authority: The “Pope Leo vs. White House” conflict represents a struggle between traditional moral authority (the Vatican) and nationalist political power. The Pope’s refusal to visit the US for its 250th anniversary signals a formal diplomatic and moral rift. Pope Leo XIV considers ceasefire as a “fragile hope” of ending “unacceptable” threats to human civilization.

Economic Ideology: The framing of a war zone as a “reconstruction” site where “big money will be made” prioritizes neoliberal capital over humanitarian concerns.

Conclusion:

The text functions to normalize the use of ultimatums in international relations, strengthening the image of US leadership through a confrontational, high-stakes narrative while legitimizing a “partial” truce as a positive development in a broader ideological struggle. Trump announced on **Truth Social** that the U.S. would assist with the maritime backlog, suggesting the US would be “hanging around” with supplies to ensure “positive action”. Trump described the truce as a “100 % victory”, despite Iran also claiming victory for forcing the U.S. to accept its 10-point framework. Under the **reported terms**, Iran and Oman may collect transit fees (up to \$2 million per vessel to fund reconstruction). Chief of Defense Forces mediated the deal, with formal talks scheduled to begin in Islamabad on April 11, 2026.

References

- Fairclough, N. (1995). *Critical discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of language*. Longman. ISBN 978-0582219847.
- Hogg, R. (1992). *The Cambridge history of the English Language: Volume 1*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. P.144.
- Wodak, R. (2001). *Methods of Critical Discourse Analysis*. London: Sage.